

01220036 – No comments (Accept) – **Thank you**

02703298 - I criticized the manuscript entitled " OAAA Consensus Opinion- Best Practice Features of ACL & Lower Limb Injury Prevention Programs". My review conclusion is as follows:

The article is original and does provide significant and also detailed information about best practice features of ACL and lower limb injury prevention programs.

Thank you

Moreover, the grammar is excellent, so it easy to follow manuscript section.

Thank you

However, the systematic review was not performed according to “the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and MetaAnalyses)” principles. It is not suitable for systematic review format (e.g. Structured summary, Introduction-Objectives, Protocol and registration, Data collection process, Data items, Summary measures, Risk of bias within studies, Results of individual studies, Synthesis of results, Risk of bias in individual studies, or Funding, ... etc).

We used the general format of a systematic review to produce our best practice but this is a consensus statement based on our research and review of the literature.

The authors must provide more detailed information about why they didn’t used PRISMA guideline. In view of the methodology, I did not provide additional specific comments.

Thank you for your feedback.

We were producing a consensus statement not a true systematic review of the literature. We, therefore, did not follow the exact PRISMA format. We are very aware of the format so we were very close to the format and included almost all of the 27 points. Therefore, in two places in the paper I have change the words systematic review to Consensus Statement. These have been highlighted in yellow.

02706155 - High priority for publication – **Thank you**