

Answering reviewers

The reviewer comments (00646543)

The manuscript by Ramos-Zúñiga is interesting as an adequate summary of the current proposals for Translational Medicine in the field of neurological disorders. I have two main suggestions: 1) Punctuation along the manuscript needs to be improved, particularly the end of sentences or paragraphs; this is more evident in pages 5 and 6 where most paragraphs are wrongly broken. 2) I believe that the author misuses the term “neuroscience” in several occasions; As I understand, the term neuroscience applies mostly to basic research related to the nervous system, whereas the term “neurological sciences” applies to the field of medicine, pathology and therapeutics of the large spectrum of brain disorders (mostly in humans). If this is so, in many places of the manuscript the term “neurosciences” is well used, whereas in many others the term “neurological sciences” would be better (e.g. in the case of “clinical neurosciences” or in P7,p5,L4-5, etc.).

Answer: Thank you for your time and dedication to revise the manuscript. In response to its helpful recommendations, I would inform you that these have been met and marked with highlight yellow to identify them. This is applied to the punctuation and the use of the term neuroscience that has been corrected. This manuscript also included the last English review by a professional. I send in an up-to-date manner and is a consideration and analysis.

With kind regards.

Rodrigo Ramos Zúñiga M.D. Ph.D.