

Dear Dr. Xiu-Xia Song,
Science Editor, Editorial Office
Baishideng Publishing Group Inc.

Many thanks for your kind email to which the review results of our submitted manuscript (Pulmonary effects of long term intermittent, work-related, seasonal exposure to high concentrations of cotton dust and its biological contaminants, ESPS Manuscript number: 19844) have been appended.

As requested, the manuscript has been carefully revised in line with the recommendations of your respected reviewers and a point to point response to their comments has been provided below. Furthermore, the manuscript has been updated according to the Guidelines and Requirements for Manuscript Revision-Basic Study.

We trust that you would find the revised manuscript satisfactory.
We look forward to receiving good news from you soon.

Yours sincerely,

Dr. Masoud Neghab
Professor of Occupational Health and Toxicology

Reviewers' comments:

First reviewer (02446061)

Some points should be treated before consideration of your manuscript to WJR:

a) Minor typing, grammar errors

Answer: *typographical and grammar errors have now been corrected.*

b) The content is attractive. However, I suggest you additional references supporting the use of your methods. But also, the same for presenting and discuss your results

c) Please remark 'in better way' the new insights obtained from your study (The features of population studied, country, enviromental features, etc). This should improve the apparence of your article. In the current form appear to be a re-make of similar studies.

Answer: *This information has been provided in the revised manuscript.*

d) Conclusion section (albeit it is not with heading) should be clear.

Answer: Conclusion section, without heading, modified to address reviewer's views.

Second reviewer (02446079)

There are several minor grammatical, linguistic and mistakes in nomenclature. I have corrected them, corrections indicated by track changes in the attached copy of the corrected manuscript. The authors are advised be advised to carefully check for any additional errors, and correct them.

We thank the reviewer. The manuscript was carefully reviewed again and all grammatical, linguistic errors and mistakes in nomenclature were rectified.

Third reviewer (00502932)

Well done and written study.

We sincerely thank the reviewer for his/her comments