We are grateful to the reviewers' valuable and helpful comments on our manuscript [ID # 62379] with the title **"Vestibular function for children with insulin dependent diabetes using cervical vestibular-evoked myogenic potentials (cVEMP) testing"** submitted as an original article to WJCP. We have addressed all comments (point-by-point), as indicated in the attached pages and considered their corrections within the main text. We hope that the explanations and revisions of our work are satisfactory. We have highlighted the changes in the revised manuscript (yellow color).

Response to reviewer's # 1 comments

I appreciate this study very much and I consider this article good quality.

My specific comments are: the sample is small; I found the discussion a little confusing.

Reviewer:

My specific comments are: the sample is small

Author:

We have included this in the section of limitations of the study and provide explanation as follow:

Page 14 (first paragraph):

However, this study has limitations: (1) small sample size, however, we consider this study as an exploratory on understudied group of our locality. Further larger sample sized studies are required to define VEMP's findings in children with T1D, and (2) the cross-sectional study design. Further longitudinal studies are required to determine the temporal relationship between the development of clinical and objective vestibular and/or auditory manifestations.

Reviewer:

I found the discussion a little confusing.

<u>Author:</u>

We revised the discussion and we hope that the current is clear and not confusing.

- Language has been revised by a colleague with naïve language is English.

With this manuscript, there are 3 tables and three figures which we wish to be published.

I certify that the materials of this work "Vestibular function for children with insulin dependent diabetes using cervical vestibular-evoked myogenic potentials (cVEMP) testing" have not been submitted simultaneously elsewhere and that there is no part of the text or any of the tables has been copyrighted, published, or reproduced elsewhere. I certify that all individuals included as authors of papers have contributed substantially to the scientific process leading up to the writing of the paper and its revised version.

Thank you for accepting reviewing our revised manuscript.