

To
Editor-in-Chief
World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics
Manuscript NO: 74604
Date: 18-03-2022

Subject: Redressing of the manuscript titled “Retrospective Study of Children with Lysinuric Protein Intolerance - Experience from a Lower Middle-Income Country and Review of Literature”.

Dear Sir,

Thanks for your kind suggestions regarding the redressing of our manuscript. The changes have been incorporated in the attached manuscript. Furthermore, each suggestion has been addressed as per the comments received as follows.

Yours sincerely,

Dr. Sibtain Ahmed

Assistant Professor & Consultant Chemical Pathologist

Department of Pathology & Laboratory Medicine

Aga Khan University, Stadium Road, Karachi, Pakistan

Email: sibtain.ahmed@aku.edu

Telephone: 92-213-4861951

Editor

The author(s) must include the keyword “Pediatrics” or "Children" in the manuscript title.

Response: The title has been revised as per the suggestion.

Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor.

Response: Original figure documents have been submitted as per the suggestion.

Please check and confirm whether the figures are original (i.e. generated de novo by the author(s) for this paper).

Response: We confirm that all figures are made by the authors and are original

Authors are required to provide standard three-line tables, that is, only the top line, bottom line, and column line are displayed, while other table lines are hidden. The contents of each cell in the table should conform to the editing specifications, and the lines of each row or column of the table should be aligned. Do not use carriage returns or spaces to replace lines or vertical lines and do not segment cell content.

Response: Tables have been revised as per the suggestion.

Reviewer 1

- 1. You need to write the introduction first and then the objectives in the abstract section.**

Response: The abstract has been revised as per the suggestion.

- 2. In the abstract section, the conclusion needs to be rewritten, focusing on the results of the current study.**

Response: The conclusion has been revised as per the suggestion.

- 3. Please write the subheading in the method section for a better understanding.**

Response: The subheadings have been included as per the suggestion.

- 4. Please provide the filled questionnaire as supplementary data and mentioned in the manuscript.**

Response: The questionnaire has been included as a supplementary data and mentioned in the manuscript.

- 5. Please write the subheading in the results section for a better understanding.**

Response: The subheadings have been included as per the suggestion.

- 6. In the result section, along with the number of cases, also write the percentage of the occurrence. The percentage also needs to be mentioned in the abstract section.**

Response: The percentage have been included as per the suggestion.

- 7. “Rest of the data was missed” Write the reasons for missing data.**

Response: urinary amino acid was not undertaken for the remaining cases

- 8. In fig 2 on X and Y-axis, mention the captions.**

Answer: Changes have been made as per suggestion of the reviewer.

- 9. In the discussion section, paragraph 2, “Our patients presented....” needs to be replaced by “The cases reported in the current study presented....”**

Response: Changes have been made as per suggestion of the reviewer.

- 10. In table 1 from Pakistan, you can write the present study's findings, and in the reference, you can write “This study.”**

Response: Changes have been made as per suggestion of the reviewer.

- 11. The conclusion section is concise need to be improved.**

Response: Conclusion has been re-written as per suggestion of the reviewer.

12. For reference 8, is there not available updated CLSI guidelines?, if available, please cite the latest version.

Response: It is the latest version that is available.

Reviewer 2

1. The author need to provide background precisely and the conclusion should be pertinent to the findings in the abstract section.

Response: The abstract section has been revised as per the suggestion.

2. You are suggested to provide the outcome following the treatment.

Response: The outcome was not followed as it was beyond the scope of this study.

3. Gene sequencing is useful for diagnosis; so it should be considered if available.

Response: Gene sequencing was not undertaken and hence not reported. It has been added as a limitation in the revised manuscript.