

1. Introduction: rather than say “turn over” say “change”.

Ans: Changes made

2. Introduction: You’ve skipped over 23-gauge vitrectomy, which usually does not require sutures.

Ans: 23-gauge vitrectomy also added in introduction.

3. You need to reference the follow: Ophthalmic Surg Lasers Imaging Retina. 2016 Apr 1;47(4):352-5. doi: 10.3928/23258160-20160324-08. Hybrid 25- and 27-Gauge Vitrectomy for Complex Vitreoretinal Surgery. Yonekawa Y, Thanos A, Abbey AM, Thomas BJ, Todorich B, Faia LJ, Williams GA, Capone A Jr, Wolfe JD, Hassan TS. Since this report also described the use of 27-gauge vitrectomy in eyes with ROP.

Ans: This reference included in introduction.

4. What did the Ethics Committee approve? The performance of vitrectomy? The performance of this study?

Ans: Ethics Committee approval was taken for performance of this study.

5. Results: Do not begin sentences with numbers.

Ans: Change made.

6. The manuscript needs additional editing for spelling and grammar.

Ans: Done.

7. A recent presentation by Williams showed that the different gauge vitrectomy systems are equally effective and safe. This needs to be included to balance your discussion.

Ans: This study added.

8. You state “None of our case had hypotony in the post operative period as compared to 5% in a study by Khan et al.¹⁵” But you only had 9 eyes and the 5% equates to 1 in 20. Therefore, making this comparison is not reasonable.

Ans: We have added that our sample was much smaller compared to their study.

9. “Thus to summarize, 27-gauge system is indeed a boon for pediatric cases, since this technique has a favorable wound sealing structure with few postoperative complications and better surgical outcomes.” Your study has insufficient data to make this assertion. You need to temper this conclusion.

Ans: Conclusion tempered.

10. Table 1: Birthweight should be abbreviated as “gm”