

**Name of journal: World Journal of Critical Care Medicine**

**ESPS Manuscript NO: 17405**

To the Editorial Board,

Thank you for your kind review of our manuscript.

**Reviewer 00608249: The manuscript is reasonably detailed. However, the text can be shorted significantly and replaced by summary tables and figures as follows Summary tables summarizing the emergent methods with the appropriate literature and significant shortening of the text Figures showing 1) Pathogenesis of disease 2) Comparison of the methods and summary of key points/ future directions The text can be better organized**

**Reviewer 02496740: Good job, my suggest is to make a table in which could be summarize the features (identified as clinical indicators by the Authors in their studies) and what these features predict. No other remarks.**

**Reviewer 02493079: The manuscript is suitable published in the journal.**

**Response to reviewers:**

We appreciate the significant effort each of the reviewers gave in reading and advising on our manuscript. As Reviewer 00608249 suggested, we have substantially shortened and reorganized our text, composed a Figure to demonstrate pathophysiology of disease, and made 2 Tables to simplify presentation and comparisons of RSV reinfection risk (Table 1) and asthma prediction tools (Table 2). We have re-written the concluding paragraph to state future directions more clearly. We hope Table 2 is also appropriately responsive to Reviewer 02496740's suggestion. These edits have reduced the body text by more than 1500 words. We believe our manuscript has been tremendously improved thanks to all the suggestions and kind critiques by our reviewers and would like to express our appreciation. We hope you find this editorial interesting and enlightening.