Dear Editor,

This document is in response to your review of the manuscript ESPS NO: 19900 entitled "Why there is a need to discuss PH other than PAH" by George Nakos and Athanasios Papathanasiou. Thank you for your comments.

Dear reviewer 00502750

Thank you very much for your inspiring comments. The manuscript has been edited by a language expert and we hope you will find the improvements satisfactory

Dear reviewer 00502781

Thank you very much for your kind comments. Our response to your suggestions are:

Major comments:

- References have been added to the statements of chapter 2 as suggested. Please see references 5-15.
- We included text regarding the categories and basic characteristics of specific PAH treatment. Please see page 5, line 16 to page 6 line 9.
- The suggested reference is added (please see reference 4).

Minor comments:

- Some of the abbreviations have been removed from the manuscript. All the remaining abbreviations used in the manuscript are now listed in table 1 (please see table 1, page 14).
- The manuscript has been edited by a language expert and we hope you will find the improvements satisfactory.
- About the penultimate chapter: we seriously considered your suggestion but after evaluating the result we believe it is better structured as is. Thank you for your suggestion.

Dear reviewer 00502797

Thank you very much for your kind comments.

Dear reviewer 00502828

Thank you very much for your kind comments. Our response to your suggestions are:

- #1 Definitions of PH groups are now summarized in table 2 (please see table 2, page 15)
- #2 We are sorry for the inconvenience. Some of the abbreviations have been removed from the manuscript. All remaining abbreviations in the manuscript are now listed in table 1 (please see table 1, page 14).

- The manuscript has been edited by a language expert and we hope you will find the improvements satisfactory.