

Dear editor,

Thank you for the comments given. We revise accordingly as stated in the table below:

1.	It is very important to change and modify the title. the title is not appropriate.	Thanks for the comment. We amend the title to: Effect of Stingless bee honey (SBH) oral administration on the serum biochemical parameters and histological changes in streptozotocin (STZ) induced diabetic Sprague Dawley (SD) rats' liver and kidney.
2.	Are the objectives and the rationale of the study clearly stated?	Yes
3.	In the abstract, the research gap was not clearly stated. In addition, the authors need to rewrite the study objectives to be more academic writing	Thank for the comments given. We revise it accordingly
4.	In the introduction, include the study's significance and novelty. What makes the study different from the rest and what does it add to the current knowledge?.	Thank you for the comments. Amended accordingly
5.	In the introduction, the authors should have explained the purpose of this study and the existing gaps in this field and explained why this study was conducted.	Thank you for the comments. Amended accordingly
6.	Are the methods clear and replicable? Do all the results presented to match the methods described?	Thank you for the comments. Amended accordingly
7.	If relevant are the results novel? Does the study provide an advance in the field? Is the data plausible?	So far, the effect of SBH on diabetic rat kidney and liver histology not been reported. So, this is new finding that showed hepatorenal protective effect of SBH.
8.	References are relevant, correct, and not recent. The number of references should be increased. please add some references. since this is a scientific review, all the sentences need to be supported with references. This study is very beautiful. I liked the sequence and enjoyed reading.	More recent and relevant references added.

	Please add more references on similar studies.	
9.	There are a lot of grammatical errors. This must be taken care of and addressed	ENAGO services
10.	What are the limitations of the study? A description of limitations is missing at the end of the discussion section.	Thanks for the comment and the limitations are added under discussion.
11.	PMID numbers and DOI to the reference list and list all authors of the references. If there is no PMID or DOI, please provide the website address.	PMID or DOI added at each reference
12.	Please provide the Figures cited in the original manuscript in the form of PPT. All text can be edited, including A, B, arrows, etc. All legends are incorrectly formatted and require a general title and explanation for each figure. Such as Figure 1 title. A: ; B: ; C: .	Figure prepared in power point presentation form
13.	With respect to the reference to the Figure, please verify if it is an original image created for the manuscript, if not, please provide the source of the picture and the proof that the Figure has been authorized by the previous publisher or copyright owner to allow it to be redistributed.	All the images are taken from our own images from this study
14.	Authors are required to provide standard three-line tables, that is, only the top line, bottom line, and column line are displayed, while other table lines are hidden. The contents of each cell in the table should conform to the editing specifications, and the lines of each row or column of the table should be aligned. Do not use carriage returns or spaces to replace lines or vertical lines and do not segment cell content.	Amended accordingly.