

Dear Editor-in-Chief and Reviewer,

We are grateful for the opportunity to revise our paper and improve the quality of the manuscript. We also appreciate you taking the time to review our paper in detail and for your positive comments on our work. We have received many good suggestions that we agreed with. Our point-by-point responses are provided below. The revised parts are marked in red text.

Please feel free to return with any further comments or suggestions you might have. We look forward to your reply.

Sincerely,

Trang Thi Huyen Tu, DDS, PhD

Department of Psychosomatic Dentistry

Graduate School of Medical and Dental Sciences

Tokyo Medical and Dental University

1-5-45 Yushima, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8510, Japan

Tel: +81 03 5803 5898; Fax: +81 03 58035898; Email: [tu.ompm@tmd.ac.jp](mailto:tu.ompm@tmd.ac.jp)

Comments to the Author:

**Reviewer 1:**

**Scientific Quality:** Grade C (Good)

**Language Quality:** Grade A (Priority publishing)

**Conclusion:** Accept (General priority)

**Specific Comments to Authors:** *The manuscript reads well on a very poorly understood phenomena. The language is well constructed and given the paucity of data available, the authors have done well to describe phantom bite syndrome, including PET-CT imaging.*

**Response:**

We are grateful for reviewer's positive comments about our work. In this review, our aspiration is to enhance dental professionals' awareness of this "poorly understood" phenomenon. Existing problems (the debate in pathogenesis, typical manifestation of a clinical case) were also addressed and a direction of future development was accordingly proposed (recommended treatment strategies). We hope that this article makes a significant contribution to the literature.

**Science Editor:**

*1 Scientific quality: The manuscript describes a review of Phantom bite syndrome and treatment. The topic is within the scope of the World Journal of Psychiatry. (1) Classification: One Grade C; (2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report: This review was written and summarized the latest findings about Phantom bite syndrome and provided by a multidisciplinary team of dentists, psychiatrists and exclusive psychotherapists. The manuscript reads well on a very poorly understood phenomena. The language is well constructed and given the paucity of data available. The questions raised by the reviewers should be answered; (3) Format: There are 3 tables and 4 figures; (4) References: A total of 40 references are cited, including 5 references published in the last 3 years; (5) Self-cited references: There are 5 self-cited references. (6) References recommendations: The authors have the right to refuse to cite improper references recommended by the peer reviewer(s), especially references published by the peer reviewer(s) him/herself (themselves). If the authors find the peer reviewer(s) request for the authors to cite improper references published by him/herself (themselves), please send the peer reviewer's ID*

number to [editorialoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:editorialoffice@wjgnet.com). The Editorial Office will close and remove the peer reviewer from the F6Publishing system immediately. 2 Language evaluation: Classification: One Grade A. 3 Academic norms and rules: No academic misconduct was found in the search. 4 Supplementary comments: This is an invited manuscript. The study was supported in part by JSPS KAKENHI Grant (number, 19K10328). This study have no conflict of interest. The topic has not previously been published in the WJGO. 5.

Issues raised: (1)The authors did not provide the approved grant application form(s). Please upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s); (2)PMID and DOI numbers are missing in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. Please revise throughout; (3) The core tip section is missing. 5 Recommendation: Conditional acceptance.

**Response:**

We are thankful of the Science Editor for the positive review. Regarding the 3 raised issues, we have made the following revisions:

- (1) The approved grant certification from Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) was added.
- (2) PMID, DOI numbers (if available) and all authors' name of references were thoroughly revised in the manuscript. The revised parts are marked in red text.
- (3) The core tip section was added as a separate file.

**Company editor-in-chief:**

*I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Psychiatry, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors.*

**Response:**

We are grateful for the opportunity to revise our paper and improve the quality of the manuscript.