

Dear Reviewers,

Comments:

Overall, a very comprehensive review. Authors have put in a lot of effort in reviewing the literature pertinent to the topic. However, there seems to be some excessive repetition of points in the main body, discussion as well as in the conclusions sections. The discussion section can be made more concise. There is inconsistency in the way the authors are referring to the previously published articles and case reports. That can be improved further. Please find attached the word document with further queries and notes.

Reply:

Thank you very much for your interesting comments which we appreciate and find very useful. We review in a detail way our paper according to your commentaries. The excessive repetitions in some points of the draft, our mentions referring previously papers published and the language issues detected were corrected. Also, the discussion section was rewritten and now it is more concise. Also, we removed 2 self-cited references, a review about catatonia in the emergency department and a systematic review on catatonia in anti NMDA receptor antibody encephalitis. We add the "Article Highlights", the "Running Title" and "Authors Contributions" sections. Moreover, we submit in a separated file and in a bitmap format (TIFF), according to your guidelines, our Figure 1. Finally, we reduced the size of our draft and corrected some minimums mistakes detected in this revision.

Kind regards

Walter Jaimes-Albornoz

(On behalf of all the authors)