

Answers to Reviewers

Author is well aware of the debt he owes to the esteemed reviewers for their constructive comments and valuable input

Reviewer #1 **Manuscript title is including systematic review, however, this is not a systematic review may be mentioned as letter to editor.**

Emphasis has been laid on (Letter) type of paper and to avoid undue confusion, the word meta-analysis (refers originally to the Journal paper that I am commenting on) has been dropped from this title

**Language quality**

I went over the MS for word-smithing and English polishing as suggested

Reviewer #2 **This commentary on a published material provides additional perspectives and data from other sources to expand knowledge about chronobiological disturbances and the potential role of melatonin in schizophrenia explored in the earlier WJP systematic review.**

Truly appreciating your feedback

Reviewer#3 **I believe that some information about the role of melatonergic pathways would be interesting**

Although I totally agree about a brief on melatonergic pathways could be very informative, the Letter nature of paper, with the clinical focus of melatonin therapeutic potential in schizophrenia, would not allow for it, as I see.

Respectfully