

ROUND 1

Many thanks for your helpful suggestions in the first round, we included them all (marked yellow in the text). Please find also the specific individual answers below:

ID 03352192 Reviewer #1: Abstract should be organized in content with more focus on psychiatry aspects of COVID-19. I am not really able to follow the proposes of the authors over the written matters, the presented matters do not follow the title as the readers are expecting. I suggest authors reorganize the content more structurally and relevantly with a concluding and definitive suggestion based on.

- ➔ Thank you for your helpful suggestions, we added the most prominent psychiatric "long COVID" symptoms and the main psychiatric aspects for realignment in the abstract with more reference to the title now. We included a definitive plea for inclusive translational medical humanities to utilize and widen the expertise within the psychiatric field as well as in and for other disciplines. We structured the content, and additionally at the end of the letter we added a research and intervention strategy.

ID 05476667 Reviewer #2: 1 Title. The title dose not reflect the main subject of the manuscript 2 Abstract. 3 Key words. the keywords must be added 4 Background. Please see the additional comments 5 Methods. Not applicable 6 Results. Not applicable 7 Discussion. 8 Illustrations and tables. Not applicable 9 Biostatistics. Not applicable 10 Units. Not applicable 11 References. See comments

- ➔ We adjusted the abstract, core tip to be in line with the title. The key words are added and altogether the manuscript is strengthened according to the comments.

ID 05532596 Reviewer #3: first of all i would like to compliment the authors for their manuscript. Nonetheless, there are some minor revisions that need to be addressed before it's publication. please add the core tip section to the manuscript and ORCID, as it is a journal requirement. consult guide for authors: letter to the editor for more information.

- ➔ Thank you for the hints, we added the ORCID, the Core tip, the key words, extended the abstract and the reference list according to the guide for authors, many thanks.

ID 05198640 Reviewer #4: This letter to the editor is supposed to address earlier publication with a commentary. The focus of both papers is on medical humanities and psychiatry in coping with the consequences from COVID-19 pandemic. The views, presented by the authors are interesting and balanced. However the pandemic leads to a variety of foundational transformations in the very definition of mental health and mental disorder, with a significant frameshift towards more liberal understanding of values implicated under COVID 19, e.g. values comprising coherence and quality of life. Therefore the authors may find it meaningful to incorporate (optionally) some of the insights from the next article: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/pmc8365163/>

- ➔ We are grateful for the suggestion to broaden the horizon by adding the suggested topic and literature, many thanks.

ROUND 2

Name of Journal: *World Journal of Psychiatry*

Manuscript Type: LETTER TO THE EDITOR

COVID-19 survivors: Multi-Disciplinary Efforts in Psychiatry and Medical Humanities for long-term realignment

Many thanks for your helpful suggestions, we included them all (marked yellow in the text). Please find also the specific individual answers below: Reviewer #1: Dear authors I have now reviewed of your submission I suggest following comments to promote the MS There is no bridging between paragraphs. The phrase(e.g., COVID-19) must be spelled out when apoeated first time. The reference section must be revised. Please, write clearly and remove such words[(re-)establish resilience, s/he is confronted multi- and transdisciplinary]. Also, Please add what and how your manuscript extend the literature. --> The abbreviations/phrases are spelled out, the references added and revised, and the words as suggested removed. A bridging is added by setting contrasts, complementary arguments, phrases like combining, against this backdrop, etc. We added a plea for inclusive translational medical humanities, and also the disciplines and methods to be considered, that extend the literature when fostering realignment of COVID-19 sequelae. We hope to have provided an interesting letter to the editor for the readers of your journal, also in order to stimulate a discussion, kind regards and many thanks. Reviewer #2: Abstract should be organized in content with more focus on psychiatry aspects of COVID-19. I am not really able to follow the proposes of the authors over the written matters, the presented matters do not follow the title as the readers are expecting. I suggest authors reorganize the content more structurally and relevantly with a concluding and definitive suggestion based on. --> Thank you for your helpful suggestions, we added the most prominent psychiatric "long COVID" symptoms and the main psychiatric aspects for realignment in the abstract with more reference to the title now. We included a definitive plea for inclusive translational

medical humanities to utilize and widen the expertise within the psychiatric field as well as in and for other disciplines. We structured the content, and additionally at the end of the letter we added a research and intervention strategy. Reviewer #3: 1 Title. The title does not reflect the main subject of the manuscript 2 Abstract. 3 Key words. the keywords must be added 4 Background. Please see the additional comments 5 Methods. Not applicable 6 Results. Not applicable 7 Discussion. 8 Illustrations and tables. Not applicable 9 Biostatistics. Not applicable 10 Units. Not applicable 11 References. See comments --> We adjusted the abstract, core tip to be in line with the title. The key words are added and altogether the manuscript is strengthened according to the comments. Reviewer #4: first of all i would like to compliment the authors for their manuscript. Nonetheless, there are some minor revisions that need to be addressed before it's publication. please add the core tip section to the manuscript and ORCID, as it is a journal requirement. consult guide for authors: letter to the editor for more information. --> Thank you for the hints, we added the ORCID, the Core tip, the key words, extended the abstract and the reference list according to the guide for authors, many thanks. Reviewer #5: This letter to the editor is supposed to address earlier publication with a commentary. The focus of both papers is on medical humanities and psychiatry in coping with the consequences from COVID-19 pandemic. The views, presented by the authors are interesting and balanced. However the pandemic leads to a variety of foundational transformations in the very definition of mental health and mental disorder, with a significant frameshift towards more liberal understanding of values implicated under COVID 19, e.g. values comprising coherence and quality of life. Therefore the authors may find it meaningful to incorporate (optionally) some of the insights from the next article: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/pmc8365163/> --> We are grateful for the suggestion to broaden the horizon by adding the suggested topic and literature, many thanks.