

ANSWERING REVIEWERS

Dear Editor,

Please find enclosed the edited manuscript in word format (file name: 21815-Review.doc).

Title: Post-transplant dyslipidemia: Mechanisms, diagnosis and management

Author: Arnav Agarwal, GV Ramesh Prasad

Name of Journal: *World Journal of Transplantation*

ESPS Manuscript NO: 21815

Reviewer's comments

The paper is a complete and thorough narrative review on post-transplant dyslipidemia. My only objection is in the second paragraph of the introduction, which I think needs restructuring. It has some comments on the metabolic syndrome which is never mentioned again in the article and I think it is beyond the scope of the manuscript. Furthermore, it refers specifically to a particular study giving figures and data. I think this study should be discussed in detail in the "Consequences..." section while in the introduction a brief discussion of what is known and what is unknown without providing particular studies and figures is more appropriate. Otherwise, it is an excellent review.

Answer

Thank you very much for your kind review of our manuscript. We have made the changes you suggested to the manuscript accordingly. The changes are highlighted in bold in the text of the manuscript.

1. The Introduction section has been reworded to clearly describe what is known and what is unknown about post-transplant dyslipidemia. The second half of the first paragraph as well as the second paragraph (page 3) have been completely rewritten and restructured accordingly.
2. We agree that the metabolic syndrome is a consequence of dyslipidemia and therefore belongs in the Consequences section rather than the Introduction section. We also agree that this paper should not be a detailed description of the metabolic syndrome. Therefore, the study in question (Reference 1) is discussed in detail in a paragraph in the Consequences section, in the middle of page 9.

Thank you again for your kind review of our manuscript.

Sincerely,
G. V. Ramesh Prasad
Corresponding Author