

10/16/2023

We appreciate the opportunity to revise and resubmit our manuscript. Following is a point-by-point response to reviewers' comments.

Reviewer 1:

The general structure of the article is quite interesting and detailed. Detailing the subject by giving titles based on myths has created an interesting style. I have some suggestions to make the article more organized: Ultrasound images of case examples that make each myth visually understandable should be added. In which cases the case should be referred to the radiology department can be given in detail.

Thank you for your kind comments.

We have now added three new figures based on your suggestion.

Figure 1: demonstrates tardus parvus waveform in a case of renal artery stenosis that should warrant confirmatory imaging by the radiology department.

Figure 3: A case of discrepant clinical and POCUS findings.

Figure 4: depicts essential elements for implementing a robust POCUS program within an institution.

It's challenging to depict myths related to lawsuits or the survival benefit using ultrasound images. We hope it's acceptable to leave them as is.

Sincerely,

Abhilash Koratala, MD

Corresponding author