

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Nephrology

ESPS manuscript NO: 29709

Title: Acute Kidney Injury from different poisonous substances

Reviewer's code: 00503014

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2016-08-27 21:03

Date reviewed: 2016-09-10 21:59

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dr Rubina Naqvi reported their experience of AKI, developing after exposure to poisonous substance. The study will be interesting for the readers. I have several major concerns for the study 1. Some compound should be removed by plasma adsorption with carbon. The author should clarify the type of RRT for their patients. Did they all receive hemodialysis? Or combining others? 2. The prognosis of recovery from AKI could be related to levels of toxin, timing of RRT initiation or the patients' co-morbidity. The author should mention it.

1.The author should clarify the type of RRT for their patients. Did they all receive hemodialysis?-done in text

2.AKI could be related to levels of toxin, timing of RRT initiation or the patients' co-morbidity. The author should mention it.- patients with other co-morbids were excluded from study, timing of initiation of RRT is given in Table 3, labeled as days of insults. There are some limitation of study that it was conducted at a tertiary renal care center and patients only arrived when referred here by primary physicians. This is also mentioned in main text under heading of "Limitations"



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Nephrology

ESPS manuscript NO: 29709

Title: Acute Kidney Injury from different poisonous substances

Reviewer's code: 00462815

Reviewer's country: Spain

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2016-08-27 21:03

Date reviewed: 2016-11-08 23:56

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The article is potentially interesting as a data base for consultation. However, it might be greatly improved with some pathophysiological analysis of AKI cases. In figure 3, units of parameters must be indicated. Hospital data bases should be reexamined to count for all patients filed for being exposed to the poisons, and not only those who suffered an AKI.

1.some pathophysiological analysis of AKI cases - done in text and highlighted

2.In figure 3, units of parameters must be indicated.- done

3. Hospital data bases should be reexamined to count for all patients filed for being exposed to the poisons, and not only those who suffered an AKI- this study was done at a tertiary renal care unit, we only receive patients with renal dysfunction. It is difficult to comment upon exposure to poison in general population and their outcome