

Editor-in-Chief
World Journal of Methodology

I would like to thank you for considering my manuscript, entitled “Evidence Based Review of Management of Cardiorenal Syndrome Type 1” for publication in World Journal of Methodology. As requested, I have revised the manuscript according to the comments made by the reviewer. Below are my responses (in red) to all of the questions posed by the reviewer.

Reviewer Comments:

Review for grammar.

Which systematic review guidelines were followed? There is little synthesis conducted in this review. The individual studies are presented in the Results and in Table 2 and then discussed individually in the Discussion. It would be nice to see Table 2 grouped so that comparisons could be made across studies to see relative effect of treatment on, for example, kidney function and weight. In Figure 1, why are there 2 parallel arms? The legend should be expanded to better explain the figure. A figure showing the search strategy and the results with the various inclusion/exclusion criteria should be included.

Thank you for the review.

1. The manuscript has been reviewed from grammar
2. PRISMA protocol have been followed and have been mentioned on the methods section.
3. Table 2 has been edited and grouped based on different interventions so that comparisons could be made across studies.
4. Patients may present with either signs and symptoms of volume overload (venous congestion, venous hypertension, raised IAP) or reduced perfusion (decreased cardiac output, decreased effective circulation volume, decreased RBF, RPF). Patients are managed based on the whether the patient present with HF with volume overload or reduced perfusion due to reduced CO. Further explanation has been added to the legend.
5. Flow diagram of the study selection process showing rejections with reasons have been shown in Figure 1