

Reply to reviewers' comments

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Accept (High priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: Dear authors, Thank you for your great case selection. Information and protocol you provided will help anyone in the field but you need more cases to get something greater out of them

Reply: thank for the comment and there is no specific comment for revision to be responded.

Reviewer #2:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: This letter to editor discussed the problems in previous publication on "Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 pandemic related morbidity and mortality in patients with pediatric surgical diseases: A concerning challenge". I agree with the author in this manuscript.

Reply: thank for the comment and there is no specific comment for revision to be responded.

Reviewer #3:

Scientific Quality: Grade A (Excellent)

Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: The letter to editor written well. The purpose of the letter is very clear. The authors concisely conveyed their point.

Reply: thank for the comment and there is no specific comment for revision to be responded.

EDITORIAL OFFICE'S COMMENTS

Authors must revise the manuscript according to the Editorial Office's comments and suggestions, which are listed below:

(1) Science editor:

This letter to editor discussed the problems in previous publication on “Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 pandemic related morbidity and mortality in patients with pediatric surgical diseases: A concerning challenge”. Information and protocol in this manuscript will help a anyone in the field but the author need more cases to get something greater out of them. To sum up, the letter to editor looks acceptable.

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Reply: thank for the comment. More references mentioning for referencing method are quoted for reader to get more data sources.

(2) Company editor-in-chief:

I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Methodology, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors.

Reply: Thank for the comments. Revision is done according to the comment.