



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## ESPS JOURNAL EDITOR-IN-CHIEF'S REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 15257

**Title:** Noninvasive imaging assessment of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: Focus on liver scintigraphy

**Journal Editor-in-Chief (Associate Editor):** Stephen C Strom

**Country:** Sweden

**Editorial Director:** Jin-Lei Wang

**Date sent for review:** 2015-03-13 08:56

**Date reviewed:** 2015-03-14 00:04

ACADEMIC CONTENT EVALUATION	LANGUAGE QUALITY EVALUATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		

### JOURNAL EDITOR-IN-CHIEF (ASSOCIATE EDITOR) COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors should revise the manuscript according to this reviewer's concerns. They wrote... "About FDG-PET there are few scientific evidences because the data which the authors referred did not use certain NASH so the confidence is low. I think this part should be deleted. Answer: We agree with the reviewer that the confidence of the sole published study that evaluated this method is low. However, exactly because of this fact (only 1 study), with understand this is an important information, but the critical to the bias was made). So we intend to maintain this part, if the reviewer agree. The editor sides with teh reviewer on this. Please delete the discussion referred to above. If the data is unreliable, it should not be discussed as if it were reliable, because it gives it credibility by the citation that is undeserved. This manuscript can be published if this section is deleted