



**ESPS JOURNAL EDITOR-IN-CHIEF'S REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 15374

**Title:** Validation of Aspartate Aminotransferase to Platelet Ratio for Diagnosis of Liver Fibrosis and Postoperative Prognosis in Infants with Biliary Atresia

**Journal Editor-in-Chief (Associate Editor):** Nahum Mendez-Sanchez

**Country:** Mexico

**Editorial Director:** Jin-Lei Wang

**Date sent for review:** 2015-01-31 09:25

**Date reviewed:** 2015-02-01 06:05

ACADEMIC CONTENT EVALUATION	LANGUAGE QUALITY EVALUATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		

**JOURNAL EDITOR-IN-CHIEF (ASSOCIATE EDITOR) COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Page 7, introduction section, first paragraph, lines 2-4, I suggest to change this paragraph by the objective of the study. Because it is part of the material and methods section Page 7, introduction section. The aim the study that authors wrote in the end of the introduction section did not match with that of the abstract section. Page 8, Results section, first line. From January 2010 to June 2013. delet this sentence it redundant because you have included in the material and methods section Pages 4 and 13, abstract and discussion sections. Please check the conclusions in both sections because did not match.

**Answering:**

1. Page 7, introduction section, first paragraph, lines 2-4, had been replaced by the objective of the study.
2. Page 8, Results section, first line. From January 2010 to June 2013. this sentence had been deleted.
3. The conclusions had been modificated.