

ESPS JOURNAL EDITOR-IN-CHIEF'S REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 15819

Title: Risk factors for complications associated with upper gastrointestinal foreign bodies

Journal Editor-in-Chief (Associate Editor): Andrzej S Tarnawski

Country: United States

Editorial Director: Jin-Lei Wang

Date sent for review: 2015-04-13 16:26

Date reviewed: 2015-04-14 12:07

ACADEMIC CONTENT EVALUATION	LANGUAGE QUALITY EVALUATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		

JOURNAL EDITOR-IN-CHIEF (ASSOCIATE EDITOR) COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors cite relatively old references I suggest to cite the following newer references including one in the WJG20114: Endoscopic management of foreign bodies in the upper gastrointestinal tract: A review. Sugawa C, Ono H, Taleb M, Lucas CE. World J Gastrointest Endosc. 2014 Oct 16;6(10):475-81. doi: 10.4253/wjge.v6.i10.475. Review. Endoscopic foreign body removal in the upper gastrointestinal tract: risk factors predicting conversion to surgery. Lee HJ et al. Surg Endosc. 2015 Mar 25. [Epub ahead of print] The paper requires numerous linguistic corrections: e.g. Informed consent: This retrospective study was performed under IRB approval, all data is de-identified for statistical analysis. In this one sentence are 3 errors: retrospective; performed under IRB approval; all data is Data is plural Should be changed to: This retrospective study was approved by IRB, all data are de-identified at two university hospital should be hospitals. Endoscopic data those were analyzed included duration of foreign should be: Endoscopic data that were analyzed and many more. The people who did linguistic corrections did not correct these errors.