

ESPS JOURNAL EDITOR-IN-CHIEF'S REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 24212

Title: Immunological changes in different patient populations with chronic hepatitis C virus infection

Journal Editor-in-Chief (Associate Editor): Roberto J Firpi

Country: United States

Editorial Director: Jin-Lei Wang

Date sent for review: 2016-03-31 10:23

Date reviewed: 2016-04-01 20:37

ACADEMIC CONTENT EVALUATION	LANGUAGE QUALITY EVALUATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		

JOURNAL EDITOR-IN-CHIEF (ASSOCIATE EDITOR) COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a well-written paper, but needs some work. 1) Your AIM is not clear. To better characterize the immune defects...we focus. Delete "we focus". It should read "To better characters natural killer cells, NKT-likeetc" 2) You have too many abbreviations in the abstract. Abbreviations should be avoided if possible in the abstract. 3) In Introduction, eliminate the parenthesis after "A minority..." so you should say "Approximately 20% of HCV..." 4) The definition of HCV carries is really a controversial one. You can have chronic HCV with normal ALT with advance disease or even cirrhosis. You don't have liver biopsies on these patients, only a few fibroscan that may help, but not the best. 5) Be consistent in the manuscript. If are using the abbreviation HCV for chronic HCV, then you have to use it all the time. 6) In Material and Methods: The first sentence is really a description of your population that will go in your results not here. 7) In Results, you need a description of your population first. 8) In Discussion, your 1st paragraph should be a description of your findings or results, the 2nd a comparison with other papers and what your results mean. The 3rd should be your limitations and your last the conclusions.