

ESPS JOURNAL EDITOR-IN-CHIEF'S REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 26651

Title: Five-year follow-up of the seventh TNM edition for the staging of gastric cancer

Journal Editor-in-Chief (Associate Editor): Andrzej S Tarnawski

Country: United States

Editorial Director: Jin-Lei Wang

Date sent for review: 2016-06-28 09:05

Date reviewed: 2016-06-30 12:32

| ACADEMIC CONTENT EVALUATION | LANGUAGE QUALITY EVALUATION | CONCLUSION |
|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | | |

JOURNAL EDITOR-IN-CHIEF (ASSOCIATE EDITOR) COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper is clinically important. However it requires extensive stylistic and linguistic revisions. Below are some suggestions: 1)I suggest to change the title from: “Five-year follow-up of the seventh tumor-node-metastasis edition for the staging of gastric cancer ” to : The 7th tumor-node-metastasis staging of gastric cancer: Five-year follow-up. 2) Title and the text. The authors are referring to the Seventh tumor-node-metastasis (TNM) edition. The term edition is not appropriate it should be used classification. 3) Gastric cancer is one of the fifth most common malignancy should be: is the fifth 4) “We revised relevant Literature concerning ... - possibly the authors meant “reviewed” 5)Authors analyzed the goodness of the seventh TNM - should be Authors analyzed usefulness or value Recent Literature (from 2010 up to March 2016) was explored -should be evaluated The paper can be also considered for the World Journal of Gastrointestinal Cancer

=====
From: Stefano Rausei <stefano.rausei@asst-settelaghi.it>
To: Ma, Ya-Juan (BPG) <y.j.ma@wjgnet.com>
Subject: Re: Re: 26651-URGENT
Date: 2016/7/6 14:09:04
=====

Dear dr. Ma,

I am very thankful for the editorial process of my manuscript and for the reviewers and editor's comments aiming to improve article quality.

In attached file you can find the revised version of the manuscript invited from your editorial board and specifically prepared for publication in the prestigious WORLD JOURNAL OF GASTROENTEROLOGY.

Once again I revised english editing with my native english speaker co-author (Dionigi G) and I followed the suggestions by prof Tarnawski.

I want to specify only that the title of the manuscript was revised according to the editor's suggestion, but the original title "The 7th tumor-node-metastasis EDITION of gastric cancer: Five-year follow-up" was used in order to link this article to my previous one entitled "How does the 7th TNM EDITION fit in gastric cancer management", published in Annals of Surgical Oncology in 2011 and mentioned in your invitation letter.

Thank you for your kind cooperation.

Bets regards.

Stefano