

ESPS JOURNAL EDITOR-IN-CHIEF'S REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Oncology

ESPS manuscript NO: 20454

Title: Sentinel lymph node biopsy in clinically detected ductal carcinoma in situ

Journal Editor-in-Chief (Associate Editor): Godefridus J Peters

Country: Netherlands

Editorial Director: Xiu-Xia Song

Date sent for review: 2015-11-12 14:00

Date reviewed: 2015-11-13 06:36

ACADEMIC CONTENT EVALUATION	LANGUAGE QUALITY EVALUATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection

JOURNAL EDITOR-IN-CHIEF (ASSOCIATE EDITOR) COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors need to answer each remark separately and explain for each remark how and where they did change the paper. Moreover, I need a Word version in which changes are marked, so that it can be judged what has been changed

ESPS JOURNAL EDITOR-IN-CHIEF'S REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Oncology

ESPS manuscript NO: 20454

Title: Sentinel lymph node biopsy in clinically detected ductal carcinoma in situ

Journal Editor-in-Chief (Associate Editor): Godefridus J Peters

Country: Netherlands

Editorial Director: Xiu-Xia Song

Date sent for review: 2016-01-13 14:00

Date reviewed: 2016-01-20 20:59

ACADEMIC CONTENT EVALUATION	LANGUAGE QUALITY EVALUATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection

JOURNAL EDITOR-IN-CHIEF (ASSOCIATE EDITOR) COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It seems that the authors have adequately adapted the manuscript regarding the comments of the reviewer. I did however, not see the comments/approval of the reviewer on the modified version. Was that positive? In case the reviewer is positive, I do not see problems in accepting this paper.