

## ESPS JOURNAL EDITOR-IN-CHIEF'S REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Orthopedics

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 20042

**Title:** Total elbow arthroplasty is moving forward: Review on past, present and future

**Journal Editor-in-Chief (Associate Editor):** Qianjun (Trey) Cui

**Country:** United States

**Editorial Director:** Xiu-Xia Song

**Date sent for review:** 2015-10-08 12:20

**Date reviewed:** 2015-10-08 21:32

ACADEMIC CONTENT EVALUATION	LANGUAGE QUALITY EVALUATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection

### JOURNAL EDITOR-IN-CHIEF (ASSOCIATE EDITOR) COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a well-done mini-review on total elbow arthroplasty. Some minor language polishing is needed: Page 9 second paragraph from the bottom: "Therefore, patient-specific implants could be used in cases, where usual implants are insufficient." I recommend to replace word "insufficient" with "not suitable" Page 9 last paragraph: "In knee arthroplasty, patient-specific cutting guides, based on pre-operative CT-scans, are available for difficult cases, with good results[46]." this statement is biased. "with good results" should be deleted, more references should be added (especially those with unsatisfactory outcomes) so they can provide a balanced view on patient-specific cutting guides and the outcomes from using such tools.