

## ESPS JOURNAL EDITOR-IN-CHIEF'S REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Methodology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 21690

**Title:** Methodology in improving antibiotic implementation policies

**Journal Editor-in-Chief (Associate Editor):** Yicheng Ni

**Country:** Belgium

**Editorial Director:** Xiu-Xia Song

**Date sent for review:** 2016-02-22 11:23

**Date reviewed:** 2016-02-22 20:32

ACADEMIC CONTENT EVALUATION	LANGUAGE QUALITY EVALUATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		

### JOURNAL EDITOR-IN-CHIEF (ASSOCIATE EDITOR) COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a nice mini-review about the correct application of antibiotics. Before its publication, further efforts by either the author or the language editor are deemed necessary, as specified below. 1) Although “English language editing revision is declared by an attached language certificate”, grammatical errors can still be found here and there. For instance, in the Abstract, “Knowledge is also as important as experience for critical decision making as is designated”, k should not be in capital and the sentence should be ended with a period. In the Introduction, “likely diseases treatable” should be “diseases likely treatable”; “changed great” should be “changed greatly”; and “contribute” should be “contributes”. On page 6, “the comparative costs of antibiotics is” should be “the comparative cost of antibiotics is”, ... .. Therefore, the entire paper should be carefully proofread again. 2) It is suggested that a scheme expressing the triangular relationship between the patient, pathogen and antimicrobials would enhance the readability of this review article. 3) For several times the author mentioned “antimicrobials are the only drugs which do not directly affect the patient;” in the paper. It is recommended that the word “directly” should be replaced by “intentionally” wherever appropriate, because all antimicrobials do directly affect the patient whether by intention or not.